COMPLAINANT:

RESPONDENTS:

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION

SECULE IN A 999 E Street, N.W.

2003 AUG 18 P 4: 13 Washington, D.C. 20463

FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

SENSITIVE

MUR:

5328

DATE COMPLAINT FILED:

October 25, 2002

DATE OF NOTIFICATION:

November 1, 2002

DATE ACTIVATED:

January 22, 2003

EXPIRATION OF STATUTE April 16, 2007 OF LIMITATIONS:

National Legal and Policy Center by Kenneth F. Boehm, Chairman

PAC to the Future and Leo McCarthy, as treasurer Team Majority and Leo McCarthy, as treasurer Nancy Pelosi for Congress and Paul F. Pelosi, as treasurer

Representative Nancy Pelosi
Chellie Pingree for U.S. Senate and Daniel N.
Crewe, as treasurer

Clark for Congress and Marilyn Hoffman, as treasurer

Committee to Elect Lincoln Davis and Sharon B. Davis, as treasurer

Dutch Ruppersberger for Congress and David C. Deger, as treasurer

Joe Turnham for Congress and Pete Turnham, as treasurer

Julie Thomas for Congress Campaign Committee and Stephen B. Jackson, as treasurer*

Van Hollen for Congress and Jennifer Lewis Smith, as treasurer*

Wofford for Congress and Andrew Greenberg, as treasurer

^{*} This Office has internally generated these respondents based on their apparent receipt of expessive contributions, as discussed infra.

RELEVANT STATUTES AND REGULATIONS:

2 U.S.C. § 432(e) 2 U.S.C. § 433(b)(2) 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(C) 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(2)(A) 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(5) 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(g) 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3) 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(a)

INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:

Disclosure Reports

FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:

None

1. Introduction

The complaint in this matter raises, for the first time, the issue of whether a candidate or

officeholder can have two "leadership PACs." The complaint contends that PAC to the Future, a

5 non-connected PAC registered with the Commission since 1999, operates as a leadership PAC

6 allowing Representative Nancy Pelosi to make contributions to other federal candidates.

7 According to the complaint, Team Majority, which filed with the Commission as a non-

8 connected PAC in April 2002, also operated as a leadership PAC associated with Representative

9 Pelosi and was formed by her to evade the contribution limits set forth in the Federal Election

10 Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and the Commission's regulations. Specifically,

11 the complaint alleges that the two PACs are affiliated and therefore share a common contribution

12 limit; that limit, according to the complaint, has been exceeded on several occasions. The

13 complaint identifies the campaign committees of Chellie Pingree, Martha Fuller Clark, Lincoln

14 Davis, Dutch Ruppersberger, Joe Turnham and Dan Wofford as having accepted excessive

2

- contributions as a result of the two PACs' affiliation. Sally Hambrecht, William Hambrecht and
- 2 George Zimmer are identified in an attachment to the complaint as having made excessive
- 3 contributions to the PACs.
- Based on the available information, PAC to the Future and Team Majority appear to be
- 5 affiliated, and this Office recommends the Commission find reason to believe they violated the
- 6 Act by failing to properly report their affiliated status and by making and receiving contributions
- 7 that, when aggregated, exceeded the contribution limits of the Act. In addition, this Office
- 8 recommends the Commission find reason to believe Joe Turnham for Congress and four
- 9 internally generated candidate committees (noted by asterisks on p. 1) violated the Act by
- 10 receiving excessive contributions from the two PACs. This Office recommends the Commission
- dismiss the complaint as to Nancy Pelosi for Congress, take no action at this time concerning
- George Zimmer, and find no reason to believe that Representative Pelosi or any of the other
- 13 respondents violated the Act or Commission regulations.

II. APPLICABLE LAW

A. Contribution Limits

- A multicandidate PAC is limited to receiving \$5,000 per calendar year from individual
- 17 contributors. 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a)(1)(C), 441a(f). Further, an authorized candidate committee
- may accept \$5,000 from a multicandidate PAC during each election. 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a)(2)(A),
- 19 441a(f). If a committee accepts contributions that exceed these limits, its treasurer shall either
- refund the excessive contributions or seek redesignation or reattribution within sixty days. See
- 21 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3).

14

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

B. Affiliation

The Act states that for purposes of the limitations set forth in 2 U.S.C. §§ 441a(a)(1) and
441a(a)(2), all contributions made by political committees "established or financed or maintained
or controlled by any ... person ... or by any group of ... persons, shall be considered to have
been made by a single political committee." 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(5). Committees established,
financed, maintained or controlled by the same person or group of persons are "affiliated
committees." 11 C.F.R. § 100.5(g). Contributions made to or by such committees shall be
considered to have been made to or by a single committee. 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.5(g) and
110.3(a)(1).

When registering with the Commission, a political committee must include in its

When registering with the Commission, a political committee must include in its Statement of Organization "the name, address, relationship, and type of any connected organization or affiliated committee." 2 U.S.C. § 433(b)(2).

13 III. PAC TO THE FUTURE AND TEAM MAJORITY

A. Facts

PAC to the Future is an unauthorized multicandidate committee that has been registered with the Commission since March 24, 1999 and qualified for multicandidate committee status on September 28, 1999. PAC to the Future's Statement of Organization lists former California Lieutenant Governor Leo McCarthy as its treasurer, and states that it is not affiliated with any other committee. Team Majority is an unauthorized multicandidate committee that initially registered with the Commission under the name "Team Pelosi" on April 1, 2002. The committee amended its name to "Team Majority" on July 24, 2002, in response to a letter from

Section 441a(a)(5) provides specific exceptions, none of which is relevant here.

² Prior to filing the initial Statement of Organization for Team Pelosi, Mr. McCarthy contacted a RAD analyst. The RAD analyst's telegon indicated that Mr. McCarthy called on February 26, 2002 and "wanted to know if a

- RAD reminding the committee that an unauthorized committee's name may not include the
- 2 name of a candidate. See 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(4). Team Majority's Statement of Organization also
- 3 lists Leo McCarthy as its treasurer, and states that it is not affiliated with any other committee.
- In their responses to the complaint, Team Majority, PAC to the Future, Representative
- 5 Nancy Pelosi and Nancy Pelosi for Congress do not deny that both PACs operated as
- 6 Representative Pelosi's "leadership PACs." All of their responses acknowledge that
- 7 Representative Pelosi engaged in fundraising for the two PACs. In their joint response, Nancy
- 8 Pelosi for Congress and Representative Pelosi state that "[a]s a leader of her party,"
- 9 Representative Pelosi "has been instrumental in raising funds for Democratic candidates
- throughout the country. She has done this in innumerable ways . . . [including] through non-
- 11 connected PACs that support Democratic candidates, such as Team Majority and PAC to the
- **1**2 Future." (Pelosi Resp., p. 1.)

candidate can have more than one leadership PAC." According to the telecom, the RAD analyst told him "that was fine and directed him to cites regarding non-connected committees." The telecom also stated that the RAD analyst "told him a leadership PAC is technically not associated with any one candidate and is just out there raising and contributing money to whomever." Although not raised in Team Majority's response, the press has reported that Mr. McCarthy acknowledged that while he did not seek legal advice before establishing Team Majority, "he said he checked with the FEC and said that he was assured there was 'no impediment of any kind' to creating a second PAC that would mimic the first." Ethan Wallison, Pelosi PAC Stirs Questions, ROLL CALL (Oct. 24, 2002) available at http://www.rollcall.com/pages/news/00/2002/10/news1024b.html.

The press has repeatedly characterized the two PACs as associated with Representative Pelosi and this Office is not aware of any reports that she has disavowed this characterization. See, e.g., Ethan Wallison, Pelosi's PAC Stirs Questions, ROLL CALL (Oct. 24, 2002) available at http://www.rollcall.com/pages/news/00/2002/10 /news1024b.html (referring to "twin leadership PACs that have enabled... Nancy Pelosi... to double up on hard-dollar contributions" and "Pelosi's second PAC, Team Majority"); Kevin Freaking, Past Generosity Plays Into Democrats' Race for House Job, THE ARKANSAS DEMOCRAT GAZETTE (Nov. 14, 2002) available at 2002 WL 102852273 ("Pelosi's contributions came through her personal campaign account, her political-action committee, PAC to the Future, and a second PAC called Team Majority..."); Hans Nichols, McCain PAC Last in Giving, THE HILL (Feb. 12, 2003) pp. 1, 8 (referring to "Nancy Pelosi's ... organization, PAC to the Future" and stating that "Pelosi also had a separate PAC, Team Majority..."). In addition, at least two letters to the Commission on PAC to the Future's letterhead identified Representative Nancy Pelosi as the PAC's Chair. See also ALMANAC OF FEDERAL PACS: 2002–2003 (Edward Zuckerman ed.)(2002) (listing PAC to the Future as a "leadership PAC" sponsored by Nancy Pelosi).

following steps to suspend its operations:"

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In alleging that Representative Pelosi established two PACs which "had both the intent
and effect of circumventing the [Act's] contribution limits . . .," the complaint relied on an
alleged statement to the press by Leo McCarthy, treasurer of both PACs, that the "main reason
for the creation of the second PAC, frankly, was to give twice as much [sic] hard dollars."

Comp., p. 2.⁴ In its response, Team Majority did not disavow the press statement or the alleged
circumvention scheme. Rather, it simply stated that it "has chosen not to contest the politically
motivated concerns expressed in public." (Team Majority Resp., p. 1.) However, "to avoid any
question about its activities, or the activities of PAC to the Future, [Team Majority] has taken the

- The PAC refunded all contributions from donors who had also given to PAC to the Future, which, when aggregated, would have exceeded \$5,000....
- The PAC has sought refunds from each candidate who received a contribution from both Team Majority and PAC to the Future which, when aggregated, exceeded \$5,000....

16 *Id*.

Team Majority's response also stated its intention "to terminate, once the refund checks have been negotiated and refunds are received from the candidates in question." [Id. at 2.] In its response, PAC to the Future acknowledges that the complaint alleged that it was affiliated with Team Majority, then states that "[i]t is the understanding of PAC to the Future that, to avoid any question about its activities, Team Majority" had taken the steps set forth above in Team Majority's response.

A review of PAC to the Future's and Team Majority's disclosure reports reveals that there are numerous campaign committees that received contributions from both PACs that, when

⁴ See Ethan Wallison, Pelosi PAC Stirs Questions, ROLL CALL (Oct. 24, 2002) available at http://www.rollcall.com/pages/news/00/2002/10/news1024b.html.

Thus far, Team Majority has not filed a notice of an intent to terminate.

12

- aggregated, exceed the contribution limit for a multicandidate political committee. (See
- 2 Attach. 1 at 2.) Of the six authorized committees notified of the complaint by the Commission,
- 3 four responded. Each acknowledged receiving contributions from both PACs, but each asserted
- 4 that it had refunded any contribution from Team Majority that would have been excessive if the
- 5 PACs were affiliated. (See Clark Resp., p. 1; Davis Resp., p. 1; Ruppersberger Resp., p. 1;
- 6 Wofford Resp., p. 2.) Disclosure reports confirm these assertions. However, they also show that
- one notified respondent, Joe Turnham for Congress, and four other campaign committees that
- 8 were neither named in nor notified of the complaint, apparently received, but did not timely
- 9 refund, similar contributions. These four other committees are (1) Julie Thomas for Congress
 - Campaign Committee, (2) Van Hollen for Congress,

As of August 4, 2003, neither the PACs' nor the

- campaign committees' reports reflect refunds of any of the PACs' contributions.
- In their joint response to the complaint, William and Sally Hambrecht, contributors to the
- 14 PACs, stated that they "were not aware that [the] two PAC[s] were set up inappropriately," but
- that "Nancy Pelosi's office" returned "each contribution [they] made to the second PAC."

Although not all the PACs' contributions and receipts exceeded the Act's limits, there was substantial overlap. For example, PAC to the Future and Team Majority received contributions for the 2002 general election from 20 of the same contributors, which amount to 14% of the individual contributions received by Team Majority and 6% of the individual contributions received by PACs made contributions to many of the same authorized candidate committees. Of the contributions that Team Majority made to authorized candidate committees, 92% were to authorized candidate committees that received contributions from PAC to the Future. Further, of the contributions PAC to the Future made to authorized candidate committees for the 2002 general election, 34% of the authorized candidate committees received contributions from Team Majority. Of the contributions that Team Majority and PAC to the Future received from the same contributors, 37% (14 out of 38) were within thirty days of one another, including five made on the same day, and 53% (20 out of 38) were within sixty days of one another.

⁷ The six committees (and their treasurers) notified at the complaint stage were Chellie Pingree for U.S. Senate, Joe Turnham for Congress, Clark for Congress, Committee to Elect Lincoln Davis, Dutch Ruppersberger for Congress, and Wofford for Congress. Neither Chellie Pingree for U.S. Senate nor Joe Turnham for Congress filed a response to the complaint.

1 (Hambrecht Resp., p. 1.) The Hambrechts enclosed copies of the refund checks with their

2 response. (Id., Attach.)

In his response to the complaint, George Zimmer, another contributor to both PACs,

- 4 stated that both he and his wife, Lorri Zimmer, contributed to PAC to the Future in July 2002.
- 5 (Zimmer Resp., p. 1.) They also made a \$5,000 contribution to Team Majority in August 2002
- 6 believing that "this PAC met all requirements that were necessary to satisfy Federal Election
- 7 fundraising regulations" (Id.) According to the response, the Zimmers "even received a
- 8 personal letter from Nancy [Pelosi] indicating the specific PAC name to give [their] donation
- 9 to," and the "PAC's treasurer gave no indication . . . in phone calls that questions had been raised
- about the PAC's affiliation with PAC to the Future . . . " Moreover, Team Majority's
- 11 "paperwork referenced a separate Committee ID and appeared in line with other" organizations
- 12 to which they had contributed in the past. (Id.) The Zimmer response also stated that in late
- October 2002, the Zimmers "received checks from Team Majority which refunded [the] two
- donations to" Team Majority and were "informed that the appropriateness of the PAC's
- 15 fundraising activities had been questioned." (Id.) Since contributions are required to be
- reported, the Zimmers believed "the refund of our contributions would be communicated as
- 17 well." (*Id*.)
- 18 B. Legal Analysis
- 19 1. Affiliation
- 20 PAC to the Future and Team Majority have all but acknowledged that they are affiliated.
- 21 They do not deny that Representative Pelosi raised funds for Democratic candidates through both
- 22 of them. The PACs share a common treasurer who reportedly admitted to the press that the
- 23. primary reason for forming Team Majority "frankly, was to give twice as much [sic] hard

16

17

18

19

dollars." The Complaint alluded to and attached the press report containing this statement, and the PACs did not disavow the quotation in their responses. Moreover, the treasurer's inquiry to 2 the RAD analyst regarding whether a candidate can have more than one leadership PAC, see supra note 2, provides further support that his intention was to create a second committee with the same purpose as the first. Rather than assert the legality of its actions, Team Majority has 5 sought to undo them, presumably because there is no basis upon which to challenge the affiliated 6 status of the two PACs and, since a second contribution limit is not legally available, there is no 7 longer any reason for Team Majority to exist. Stating that it will "not contest" the "concerns 8 expressed in public," Team Majority told the Commission it would seek refunds of all 9 contributions that would be considered excessive if the PACs were affiliated and that it would 10 suspend its operations. These facts are more than sufficient to support the reason-to-believe 11 findings recommended below that flow from the assumption that the PACs are affiliated, and 12 given their approach to date, it is unlikely that the PACs can or will mount a defense to an 13 affiliation theory.8 14

2. Excessive Contributions

Under the Act and the Commission's regulations, affiliated committees, such as PAC to the Future and Team Majority, share a single contribution limit. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(5); 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(a). For the 2002 general election, both PACs made contributions to numerous

By not asserting a defense based on his conversation with the RAD analyst, see footnote 2, Mr. McCarthy apparently recognizes that he could bind the Commission only by seeking an advisory opinion, and could not rely, under the circumstances, on a discussion with a Commission employee to avoid liability for the PACs. There is no indication that the analyst engaged in the "affirmative misconduct" necessary for an individual to estop the government, such as willfully, wantonly or recklessly providing incorrect information. See United States v. Marine Shale Processors, 81 F.3d 1329, 1349 (5th Cir. 1996); Cadwalder v. United States, 45 F.3d 297, 299 (9th Cir. 1993); Fano v. O'Neill, 806 F.2d 1262, 1265-66 (5th Cir. 1987). "Affirmative conduct" is something more than "mere negligence" on the part of the government agent. TRW, Inc. v. Federal Trade Comm'n, 647 F.2d 942, 951 (9th Cir. 1981). This Office will, however, further explore the reasonableness of Mr. Carthy's possible reliance on his conversation with the analyst, if raised, when negotiating the proposed civil penalty with the two PACs. See Marine Shale at 1349, n. 11.

1 committees, which, when aggregated, exceeded \$5,000 to each committee. (See Attach. 1 at 2.)

2 Of those committees, see supra p. 7, all but five refunded the \$5,000 excessive portion of the

- 3 contributions within sixty days. See 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3). These five—the only recipient
- 4 committees against which this Office recommends proceeding—not only did not make timely
- 5 refunds to Team Majority, but apparently have not yet made any refunds, months after Team
- 6 Majority reportedly requested refunds. Accordingly, this Office recommends that the
- 7 Commission find reason to believe that PAC to the Future and Leo McCarthy, as treasurer, and
- 8 Team Majority and Leo McCarthy, as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(2)(A), and that
- 9 Joe Turnham for Congress and Pete Turnham, as treasurer; Julie Thomas for Congress Campaign
- 10 Committee and Stephen B. Jackson, as treasurer, Van Hollen for Congress and Jennifer Lewis
- 11 Smith, as treasurer;

each violated 2 U.S.C.

13 § 441a(f).9

14

which included five committees named as respondents in this matter, demonstrate that they refunded the excessive portions of the contributions within sixty days. See 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3). Accordingly, this Office recommends that the Commission find no reason to believe that the following respondents violated any provision of the Act or Commission

The responses or the disclosure reports of the other authorized campaign committees,

regulations in connection with this matter, and close the file as to each of them: Chellie Pingree

20 for U.S. Senate and Daniel N. Crewe, as treasurer; Clark for Congress and Marilyn Hoffman, as

21 treasurer; Committee to Elect Lincoln Davis and Sharon B. Davis, as treasurer; Dutch

⁹ During conciliation, this Office will take into account, as mitigation for the two PACs, information showing that the refunds were timely requested, or, as mitigation for the recipient candidate committees, information that refunds were not timely requested.

- Ruppersberger for Congress and David C. Deger, as treasurer; and Wofford for Congress and
- 2 Andrew Greenberg, as treasurer.
- Additionally, twenty individual contributors made contributions to PAC to the Future and
- 4 Team Majority, which, when aggregated, exceeded the \$5,000 contribution limit. 2 U.S.C.
- 5 § 441a(a)(1)(C). (See Attach. 1 at 1.) A review of disclosure reports indicates that Team
- 6 Majority refunded the excessive portion of all of these contributions within 60 days except for
- 7 two: the contributions of George and Lorri Zimmer. 10 See 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3). Since it
- 8 appears that PAC to the Future and Team Majority accepted the Zimmers' contributions, this
- 9 Office recommends that the Commission find reason to believe that PAC to the Future and Leo
- 0 McCarthy, as treasurer, and Team Majority and Leo McCarthy, as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C.
- 1 § 441a(f). Because respondents Sally and William Hambrecht obtained refunds of the excessive
- portion of their contributions within sixty days, see 11 C.F.R. § 103.3(b)(3), this Office
- recommends that the Commission find no reason to believe that Sally and William Hambrecht
- 14 violated the Act or Commission regulations in connection with this matter, and close the file as
- 15 to them. 11

17

18

19

3. Reporting Violations

As discussed above, there is reason to believe that PAC to the Future and Team Majority are affiliated. However, neither PAC disclosed the other PAC as an affiliated committee on its Statement of Organization. Therefore, this Office recommends that the Commission find reason

¹⁰ Both George and Lorri Zimmer made \$5,000 contributions to PAC to the Future on July 17, 2002. They then each made \$5,000 contributions to Team Majority on August 26, 2002. George and Lorri Zimmer's \$5,000 contributions to Team Majority were refunded on October 29, 2002, sixty-four days after they were received.

Besides George Zimmer, see infra p. 13, the Hambrechts were the only other individual contributors notified of the complaint.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 1 to believe that PAC to the Future and Leo McCarthy, as treasurer, and Team Majority and Leo
- 2 McCarthy, as treasurer, each violated 2 U.S.C. § 433(b)(2).

4. Nancy Pelosi for Congress and Representative Nancy Pelosi

4 Representative Nancy Pelosi and her authorized campaign committee, Nancy Pelosi for

Congress, were notified as respondents, based on the complaint's allegation that Representative

Pelosi established both Team Majority and PAC to the Future and used them to circumvent the

Act's limits. It appears, however, that this Office improvidently notified Nancy Pelosi for

Congress, as the complaint does not contain any allegations against it or its treasurer.

9 Accordingly, this Office recommends that the Commission dismiss the complaint and close the

file with respect to Nancy Pelosi for Congress and Paul F. Pelosi, as treasurer.

Commission regulations in connection with this matter.

Regarding Representative Pelosi, although the complaint alleged that her establishment of two leadership PACs "had both the intent and effect of circumventing the contribution limits" of the Act and the Commission's regulations, there is insufficient information in the complaint or the public record to support reason-to-believe findings that she personally violated any provision of the Act or regulations. Accordingly, this Office recommends that the Commission find no reason to believe that Representative Nancy Pelosi violated any provision of the Act or

en attenta into alle to

5. George Zimmer

| 4 | As noted, the excessive portions of the Zimmer contributions were not timely refunded. |
|------------|--|
| 3 | See supra note 10. Based on the available information, it does not presently appear that George |
| 4 . | Zimmer knew that the two PACs were affiliated at the time he and his wife Lorri Zimmer |
| 5 | contributed \$5,000 apiece to each PAC. The Zimmer response, see discussion supra Part III.A. |
| 6 | indicates that Mr. McCarthy, the PACs' treasurer, did not inform them during their phone |
| 7 | conversations that the PACs were affiliated. However, the Zimmers may have information, |
| 8 | including contacts with the PACs' treasurer and receipt of a personal letter from Representative |
| 9 . | Pelosi that may be helpful if pre-probable cause conciliations are not successful. Accordingly, |
| 0 | this Office recommends taking no action at this time with respect to George Zimmer, |
| 1 | anticipating that we will later recommend closing the file as to him 12 |

IV. DISCUSSION OF CONCILIATION AND INVESTIGATION

Because George Zimmer's contributions were mentioned in an article attached to the complaint, but Lord Zimmer's were not, only George Zimmer was notified of the complaint. Under the circumstances set forth above, these appears to be no massed to internally generate Lord Zimmer as a respondent.

2.

7.

8

10

11

12 V. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Find reason to believe that that PAC to the Future and Leo McCarthy, as treasurer, and Team Majority and Leo McCarthy, as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 433(b)(2), 441a(a)(2)(A) and 441a(f);
- Find reason to believe that Joe Turnham for Congress and Pete Turnham, as treasurer,
 violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f);
- 18 3. Find reason to believe that Julie Thomas for Congress Campaign Committee and Stephen B. Jackson, as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f);
- 4. Find reason to believe that Van Hollen for Congress and Jennifer Lewis Smith, as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f);

22 5. 23

246.

26

7. Take no action at this time with respect to George Zimmer;

1:

25

26

8. Find no reason to believe that Representative Nancy Pelosi violated any provision of

prior to a

15. Approve the appropriate letters.

| 1 | |
|-----------|--|
| 2 8/18/03 | Jam 2 2 aten |
| Date | Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel |
| | Rhonda J. Vosdingh Associate General Counsel for Enforcement |
| | Susan L. Lebeaux Assistant General Counsel |
| | Thomas J. Andersen |
| | Attorney |
| | Joshua R. Heller |

Attachments:

3 4

- Charts showing common contributors and recipients
 Conciliation Agreements (6)
 Factual and Legal Analyses (6)

Contributions to PAC to the Future and Team Majority by the Same Contributors

| Name & Ast | TENTE DATABLE | Amount | Election | PAGE DATIO | Marinala Park | Amount Election |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|------------|---|-------------------|
| Bauman, Patricia | | | | | | AGE OF THE COLUMN |
| Bauman, Patricia | 10/15/02 | \$5,000 | | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Caufield, Frank J. | 10/11/02 | | G 2002 | PITE | GUTTUS PANO | |
| Caufield, Frank J. | 10/11/02 | \$ 5.000 | | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Ghielmetti, James C. | 9/30/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | PITE | | |
| Ghielmetti, James C. | 9/10/02 | \$1,000 | | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$1,000 G 2002 |
| Gossage, Eben | 8/15/02 | \$5,000 | | PITE | garangan angger eng Malakeran angger eng | |
| Gossage, Eben | 10/15/02 | \$2,500 | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$2,500 G 2002 |
| Haas, Miriam Lurie | 10/23/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | PITE | | |
| Haas, Miriam Lurie | 10/23/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/24/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Hambrecht, S.P. | 7/17/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | PITE | | |
| Hambrecht, S.P. | 9/11/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Hambrecht, William R. | 7/17/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | PTTF | | |
| Hambrecht, William R. | 9/11/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Koza, John | 6/18/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | PITE | | |
| Koza, John | 10/16/02 | \$5,000 | | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| McKay, Robert L. | 10/23/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | PTTF | | |
| McKay, Robert L. | 10/23/02 | \$5,000 | | TM Y | 10/30/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| McNeil, Larry B. | 10/15/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | PTTF | | |
| McNeil, Larry B. | 10/15/02 | \$5,000 | | TM Y | 10/30/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Mehran, Alexander R. | 9/27/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | PITE | | A continue |
| Mehran, Alexander R. | 10/16/02 | \$5,000 | | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Russel, Christine | 10/11/02 | | | PITE | | |
| Russel, Christine | 10/15/02 | \$5,000 | | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Schlesinger, Mark | 10/11/02 | | G 2002 | PITE | | |
| Schlesinger, Mark | 10/15/02 | | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Sperling, John G. | 8/14/02 | | G 2002 | PTTF | | |
| Sperling, John G. | 9/27/02 | | G 2002 | , TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Sperling, Peter V. | 6/14/02 | | G 2002 | PTIF | | |
| Sperling, Peter V. | 9/27/02 | · | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Sutherland, Karen | 10/15/02 | | G 2002 | PTTF | | |
| Sutherland, Karen | 10/15/02 | | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Tsakopoulos, Sofia | 10/11/02 | | G 2002 | PTTF | | |
| Tsakopoulos, Sofia | 10/11/02 | | G 2002 | Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Watson, Lucinda B. | 7/17/02 | | G 2002 | PITF | | |
| Watson, Lucinda | 10/15/02 | | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Zimmer, George | 7/17/02 | | G 2002 | PTTF | | |
| Zimmer, George | 8/26/02 | | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |
| Zimmer, Lorri | 7/17/02 | | G 2002 | PITF | | |
| Zimmer, Lorri | 8/26/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | TM Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 G 2002 |

PTTF = PAC to the Future TM = Team Majority G = General Y = Yes

| Attac | hment _ | | | |
|-------|---------|------|---|--|
| Page | \ | of _ | 2 | |

Contributions by PAC to the Future and Team Majority to the Same Committees

| Name Name | Date | | Election PAC | Date 2 | Amount 2 | | Refund | Date | Amount | Election | Date | Amt 2 | Election |
|--|---------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|----------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Ann Hutchinson | 6/3/02 | | H P 2002 PTTF | 8/12/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | | | | | | | |
| Ann Hutchinson/Hutchinson for Congr | | | | | | | Y | 11/4/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | - | |
| Ann Sumers Anne Summers for Congress | 8/20/02 10/22/02 | | H G 2002 PTTF H G 2002 TM | | • | | Y | 11/15/02 | \$5,000 | C 2002 | | | • |
| Bill Luther | 1/29/02 | | H G 2002 PTTF | 3/18/02 | \$2 500 | H G 2002 | T | 11/15/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Bill P. Luther/Luther for Congress | 10/14/02 | | H G 2002 TM | 3/10/02 | \$2,500 | H G 2002 | Υ | 11/15/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Brad Miller | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | • | | \$3,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Brad Miller/Brad Miller Congressional (| | | H G 2002 TM | | | | Y | 11/1/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Brian Baird | | | H P 2002 PTTF | | | | | | 0-,000 | | | | |
| Brian Baird | 6/25/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | | | | | | | |
| Charles Walker | 10/15/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 TM | | | | N | | | | • | | |
| Charles Walker | | | H O 2002 PTTF | 10/15/02 | | H G 2002 | | | | | | | |
| Chris Beil | | | H O 2002 PTTF | 6/5/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | | | | | | | |
| Chris Bell/Chris Bell for Congress | 10/3/02 | | H G 2002 TM | | • | | Y | 11/4/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Chris Kouri | | | H G 2002 TM | | • | | N | | | | | | |
| Chris Kouri Christopher Van Hollen/Van Hollen for | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | N | | | | | | |
| Christopher Van Hollen/Van Hollen for | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | N | | | | | | |
| Committee for a Livable Future | | | N G 2002 TM | | | | Y | 12/11/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Committee for a Livable Future | | | N G 2002 PTTF | | | | • | 1211102 | 33,000 | 0 2002 | | : :: | |
| Daniel Wofford | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | | | | | | | |
| Daniel Wofford/Wofford for Congress | | | H G 2002 TM | 10/8/02 | \$4,000 | H G 2002 | Υ . | 11/1/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| David D. Phelps | 6/27/01 | | H G 2002 PTTF | | , | | - | • | , | | | • | |
| David D. Phelps/Phelps for Congress | 10/14/02 | | H G 2002 TM | | | | Y | 12/30/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Dennis Cardoza | | | H O 2002 PTTF | 6/11/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | | | | | | | |
| Dennis Cardoza/Cardoza for Congress | 10/15/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 TM | | | | Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Dutch Ruppersberger | 6/20/02 | \$5,000 | HP 2002 PTTF | 6/20/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | | | | | | • • | |
| Dutch Ruppersberger | 9/16/02 | \$1,000 | H G 2002 TM | 10/14/02 | \$4,000 | H G 2002 | Y | 10/29/02 | \$1,000 | G 2002 | 10/29/02 | \$4,000 | |
| Earl Pomeroy | 6/27/01 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | | | | | | | |
| Earl Pomeroy/Earl Pomeroy for Congra | | | | | | | Υ | 11/1/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | ٠. | • |
| Ed O'Brien | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ed O'Brien/O'Brien for Congress | | | H G 2002 TM | | | | Y | 12/6/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| James H. Maloney | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | v | 444400 | er 000 | C 2002 | | | |
| James H. Maloney/Friends of Jim Mak | | | | 5/6/02 | 82 500 | H D 2002 | Y 6/20/02 | | \$5,000 | | | • | |
| Jill Long Thompson | | | H P 2002 PTTF | 5/6/02 | \$2,500 | H P 2002 | 6/20/02 Y | | H G 2002 | | | | |
| Jill Long Thompson/Thompson for Cor | | | HP 2002 PTTF | 8/14/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | T | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Joe Courtney Joe Courtney/Joe Courtney for Congre | | | | 0/14/02 | 33,000 | H G 2002 | Y | 11/4/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Joe Turnham | | | H G 2002 TM | 10/15/02 | \$4,000 | H G 2002 | N | 11/7/02 | 4 5,000 | O LUVE | | - | |
| Joe Tumham | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | 44,000 | 0 2002 | ., | | · | | | 7.5% | |
| John Arthur Smith | • | | H O 2002 PTTF | 6/17/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | | | | | | | |
| John Arthur Smith/Smith for Congress | | | H G 2002 TM | | 40,000 | | Υ | 11/4/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | arres . | - |
| John Norris | | | H P 2002 PTTF | 5/21/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | | | | | • | | • |
| John Norris/John Norris for Congress | | - • | | | | | Y | 11/4/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Julia Carson | 5/21/02 | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | | | | | | ••• | |
| Julia Carson/Carson for Congress | 10/22/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 TM | | | | Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | A | |
| Julie Thomas | 10/15/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 TM | | | | N | | | | | - | |
| Julie Thomas | 5/21/02 | \$5,000 | H·G 2002 PTTF | 8/12/02 | \$5,000 | H O 2002 | (Other) | | | | | <i>;</i> | |
| Karen Thurman | | | H G 2002 TM | | | | Υ | 11/8/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Karen Thurman | 3/28/02 | | HP 2002 PTTF | 6/17/02 | | H G 2002 | | | | | | | |
| Katrina Swett | | | HP 2002 PTTF | 6/11/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | | | | | | | |
| Katrina Swett/Katrina Swett for Congre | | | | • | | | Υ | 11/1/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | • | |
| Kevin Kelley | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kevin Kelley for Congress | | | H G 2002 TM | | | | Y | 11/1/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Leonard Boswell | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | v | 40/40/00 | 65.000 | C 2002 | | | |
| Leonard Boswell/Boswell for Congress Lincoln Davis | | | H P 2002 PTTF | 6/21/02 | ee 000 | H G 2002 | Y | 12/13/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Lincoln Davis/Lincoln Davis for Congre | | | H G 2002 TM | 6/21/02 10/15/02 | | H G 2002 | Y | 11/4/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Martha Fuller Clark | | | H G 2002 PTTF | 10/15/02 | \$4,000 | H G 2002 | • | 11/4/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Martha Fuller Clark/Clark for Congress | | | H G 2002 TM | 10/14/02 | \$2 500 | H G 2002 | Y | 11/15/02 | \$1,000 | G 2002 | 11/15/02 | \$2,500 | G 2002 |
| Matsunaka for Congress — | | | H G 2002 TM | 10/14/02 | Ψ Z ,500 | 11 G 2002 | Ÿ | | \$5,000 | | 11/13/02 | \$2,500 | G 2002 |
| Matsunaka for Congress | | | HP 2002 PTTF | 9/16/02 | \$5.000 | H G 2002 | • | | 40,000 | 0 2002 | | | _ |
| Michael Michaud/Michaud for Congres | | | H G 2002 TM | | *-, | | Y | 11/1/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Michael Michaud | | | H G 2002 PTTF | 9/18/02 | \$5,000 | H O 2002 | (Primary De | | •-• | | | | |
| Mike Feeley | 10/8/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | ` • | • | | | | | |
| Mike Feeley/Feeley for Congress | 10/8/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 TM | | | | Υ | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Paul E. Kanjorski | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | | | | | | | |
| Paul E. Kanjorski/Kanjorski for Congre | | | H G 2002 TM | | | | Υ | 11/15/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Ronnie Shows | | | HP 2002 PTTF | 3/28/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | | | | | | | |
| Ronnie Shows/Friends of Ronnie Show | | | | | | | Y | 11/4/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Stephanie Herseth | | | H G 2002 PTTF | | | | | 40.00 | | | | | |
| Stephanie Herseth/Herseth for Congre | | | | 7/04/00 | 65.000 | | Y | 10/29/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | | |
| Tim Holden | | | H P 2002 PTTF | 7/24/02 | \$5,000 | H G 2002 | v | 4414100 | 00.000 | C 2000 | | | |
| Tim Holden/Friends of Tim Holden . Tim Ryan | | | H G 2002 TM H O 2002 PTTF | 6/10/02 | SE 000 | H C 2002 | Y | 11/1/02 | \$5,000 | G 2002 | | • | |
| Tim Ryan/Ryan for Congress | | | H G 2002 TM | 0/10/02 | 33,000 | H G 2002 | Υ | 11///02 | SE 000 | G 2002 | | | |
| ········yaivityaii ioi Ouligicaa | 10/14/02 | 90,000 | 11 G 2002 1M | | | | T | 1 1/4/02 | \$5,000 | O 2002 | | | |

PTTF = PAC to the Future TM = Team Majority

H = House of Representatives

P = Primary

G = General

O = Other/Primary Debt

Y = Yes

N = No or None

Attachment _____ of ___